## **Training Operations**

COL Troy Lovett Training Operations Management Activity (TOMA) DCSOPS&T, HQ TRADOC

# **IET Scheduling Process**

- AIT/OSUT/BCT Scheduling - ATRRS based using Schedule Optimizing Model
- Schedule Objectives:
  - Schedule annual program for each course
  - Meet monthly accession flow targets
  - Minimize delays between courses in training path
  - Minimize deviation from BCT/AIT Linkage
- Aligns AIT and OSUT capacity against annual training requirement/accession flow
  - Schools provide detailed course information through TRADOC-TOMA on: scheduling exceptions, course constraints, course flow, etc.
  - Minimal number entered manually
  - TRADOC reviews exceptions provides details to G1

## IET Scheduling Process (Cont.)

- Aligns BCT by week to support AIT schedules
  - Limits weekly starts based on: Total BCT & OSUT input; RecBn Processing; and Range Capacity
  - Schedules Females/PSSP at Forts Jackson and Leonard Wood only
  - Schedules ROTC LTC input at Fort Knox
- Schedules released to TRADOC for review and adjustment
  - Schools/Centers review and provide comment to TOMA
  - Adjust ICW with School/Center and DA
- Once finalized in ATRRS, DA allocates quotas and loads REQUEST to support recruiting

# **IET Scheduling Process**

## • Structure formulas (DCSRM):

BCT/OSUT Co Calculations:

<u>Input (training mission) x (Course Length + 2</u>

<u>weeks)</u>

= number of companies

Optimum Class Size x Annual Tng Weeks (50) NOTE: DA provides 2 additional company structure to support ROTC LTC at Knox.

Example: FY04: BCT/LTC Mission of 79,367 requires 89 BCT companies

- TRADOC uses Army Reserve training divisions reducing total BCT training manpower required by 208 (16 personnel x 13 Echo Companies) and OSUT by 16 (1 x IN OSUT).

- Results in 76 AC and 13 RC BCT Cos.

### Resourcing Basic Combat Training Impact of TRADOC Rules

#### Resource formula assumes even flow of input and 50 start v

Training base is provided manpower based on input arriving equally throughout the year.

No BCT starts during December reduces availability of starts by 3 No BCT start in Oct that would require trainees to return to ATC for one week of BCT training following EXODUS, reduces availability of starts by 1.

Loss of start weeks requires BCT companies to fill above optimun and reduce some 2 week cycle breaks to 1 week.



## IET Company Structure Requirements

|         | FY04    | FY05           | FY06           |
|---------|---------|----------------|----------------|
| Mission | Current | (a/o 1 Mar 04) | (a/o 1 Mar 04) |
| вст     | 89      | 89             | 92             |
| ΑΙΤ     | 66      | 64             | 69             |
| οςυτ    | 71      | 74             | 77             |
| TOTAL   | 226     | 227            | 238            |

Structure totals include 13 RC BCT, 1 RC OSUT.

DOES NOT include estimates based on mission increases, TF results, etc.

## FY04 BCT Company Schedules (Number by class size)

| ATC                  | SCHED<br>SIZE | FY04<br>TOTAL |
|----------------------|---------------|---------------|
| BENNING              | 220           | 18            |
|                      | 240           | 18            |
| <b>BENNING TOTAL</b> |               | 36            |
| J ACKSON             | 220           | 83            |
|                      | 240           | 90            |
| J ACKSON TOTAL       |               | 173           |
| KNOX                 | 220           | 18            |
|                      | 240           | 14            |
| KNOX TOTAL           |               | 32            |
| L WOOD               | 220           | 31            |
|                      | 240           | 25            |
| L WOOD TOTAL         |               | 56            |
| SILL                 | 220           | 20            |
|                      | 240           | 19            |
| SILL TOTAL           |               | 39            |

## BCT Fill Pattern

| FY   | SIZE            | KNOX |             | <b>JACKSON</b> |             | L WOOD |               | BENNING |             | SILL |              |
|------|-----------------|------|-------------|----------------|-------------|--------|---------------|---------|-------------|------|--------------|
| 2003 | <b>OVER 220</b> | 16   | <b>39</b> % | 84             | <b>50</b> % | 21     | <b>40</b> %   | 24      | <b>47</b> % | 16   | 38%          |
| 2003 | 201 TO 220      | 10   | 24%         | 27             | <b>16</b> % | 6      | 11%           | 11      | <b>22</b> % | 1    | 2%           |
| 2003 | 200 OR<br>LESS  | 15   | <b>37</b> % | 58             | 34%         | 26     | <b>49</b> %   | 16      | 31%         | 25   | 60%          |
|      |                 |      |             |                |             |        |               |         |             |      |              |
| 2004 | <b>OVER 220</b> | 5    | 42%         | 17             | <b>28</b> % | 6      | 33%           | 5       | <b>50</b> % | 6    | 43%          |
| YTD  |                 | J    | 42/0        | 1/             | 20/0        | U      | ٥/ <b>د</b> د | 5       | 50/0        | 0    | <b>43</b> 70 |
| 2004 | 201 TO 220      | 1    | <b>8</b> %  | 13             | 22%         | 6      | 33%           | 4       | <b>40</b> % | 2    | 14%          |
| YTD  | 201 10 220      | -    | 070         | Ľ              | 22/0        | U      | ٥/ <b>در</b>  | -       | 4070        | Z    | 14/0         |
| 2004 | 200 OR          | 6    | <b>50</b> % | 30             | <b>50%</b>  | 6      | 33%           | 1       | <b>10</b> % | 6    | 43%          |
| YTD  | LESS            | U    | 30%         | 50             | 30%         | U      | <b>55</b> %   | 1       | 10%         | U    | 4370         |

### BCT Male/Female Fill Pattern

| FY   | FEMALE %                | <b>JACKSON</b> |             | L WOOD |                          |  |
|------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|--------|--------------------------|--|
| 2003 | ABOVE 50%<br>FEMALE     | 26             | 15%         | 12     | 23%                      |  |
| 2003 | 40 TO 50% FEMALE        | 83             | <b>49</b> % | 33     | <b>62</b> %              |  |
| 2003 | LESS THAN 40%<br>FEMALE | 60             | <b>36</b> % | 8      | 15%                      |  |
|      |                         |                |             |        |                          |  |
| 2004 | ABOVE 50%               | 1              | 2%          | 2      | 11%                      |  |
| YTD  | FEMALE                  | *              | ∠70         | Z      | 1170                     |  |
| 2004 |                         | 20             | 33%         | 12     | <b>67</b> %              |  |
| YTD  | <b>40 TO 50% FEMALE</b> | 20             | <b>53</b> % | 12     | 0/%                      |  |
| 2004 | LESS THAN 40%           | 39             | <b>65</b> % | 4      | 22%                      |  |
| YTD  | FEMALE                  |                | 03%         | 4      | <b>∠∠</b> <sup>7</sup> 0 |  |